

On weakly Kähler hyperbolic manifolds and geometric applications

Dirac Operators in Topology, Geometry and Representation
Theory

June 27-July 1 2022, Cortona

Francesco Bei

Sapienza, Università di Roma

Joint work with S. Diverio, P. Eyssidieux and S. Trapani

OUTLINE

- Review of Gromov's theory.
- Weak versions of Kähler hyperbolicity
- Spectral theory for weakly Kähler hyperbolic manifolds
- Geometric applications

Kähler hyperbolic manifold (Gromov 1991)

Let M be a compact complex manifold and let $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be its **universal cover**. M is said **Kähler hyperbolic** if there exists a Kähler form ω on M such that

$$\pi^*\omega = d\eta$$

with $\eta \in \Omega^1(\tilde{M}) \cap L^\infty\Omega^1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ and $\tilde{\omega} := \pi^*\omega$.

In other words

$$[\tilde{\omega}] \text{ is } \textit{trivial} \text{ in } H_b^2(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$$

with $H_b^2(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) :=$ bounded de Rham cohomology of \tilde{M} w.r.t $\tilde{\omega}$.

The **$\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma** implies that:

- If (M, ω) is Kähler hyperbolic and μ is another Kähler form on M such that $[\omega] = [\mu]$ in $H_{dR}^2(M)$ then (M, μ) is also **Kähler hyperbolic**.

- Kähler manifolds **homotopically equivalent** to Riemannian manifolds with **negative sectional curvature**;
- Compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of non compact type;
- **Product** of Kähler hyperbolic manifolds;
- **Closed complex submanifolds** of Kähler hyperbolic manifolds.

Spectral/Analytic properties

Let

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, q} : L^2 \Omega^{p, q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2 \Omega^{p, q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$$

be the L^2 -closure of the Hodge-Kodaira Laplacian on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$.

a) if $p + q \neq m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ then

$$0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, q}).$$

b) If $p + q = m$ then

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, q} : L^2 \Omega^{p, q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2 \Omega^{p, q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$$

has **closed range** and 0 is an **isolated eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity**.

a) and b) imply that

$$\ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, q}) = \{0\}$$

if $p + q \neq m$ whereas $\ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, q})$ is infinite dimensional if $p + q = m$.

In particular $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ carries no L^2 -holomorphic p -form for $0 \leq p \leq m - 1$ whereas the space of L^2 -holomorphic m -forms is infinite dimensional.

c) $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ carries a linear isoperimetric inequality: there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Omega) \leq c \text{length}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\partial\Omega)$$

for any relatively compact open subset Ω with smooth boundary.

Geometric and topological properties

a) Gromov '91. Let $\mathcal{A}_M^p :=$ sheaf of holomorphic p -forms. Then

$$(-1)^{m-p} \chi(M, \mathcal{A}_M^p) > 0.$$

b) Gromov '91. The Hopf conjecture holds true for compact Kähler manifolds with negative sectional curvature:

$$(-1)^m \chi(M) > 0.$$

c) Gromov '91. M has large fundamental group: for any $x \in X \subset M$ the image

$$\text{im}(i_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, x))$$

is infinite.

d) Gromov '91. \tilde{M} contains no compact complex subvariety of positive dimension.

e) Gromov '91, Chen-Yang 2018. M is **Kobayashi hyperbolic**: every **holomorphic** map

$$f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$$

is **constant**.

f) A holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold $L \rightarrow N$ is **big** if its **Kodaira dimension** is $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N$. Equivalently

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{-n} \dim(H^0(N, L^p)) > 0.$$

Gromov '91. The **canonical bundle** K_M is **big** and M is **projective**.

The **bigness** of K_M follows by the fact that \tilde{M} has non-trivial L^2 holomorphic forms of degree m and that $\pi_1(M)$ is **large**.

Weaker versions of Kähler hyperbolicity

Question: Why are they needed?

General reasons:

From the point of view of **birational geometry** Kähler hyperbolicity is **too rigid**. For instance if (M, ω) is K-H and $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ is obtained by **blowing up a single point** $x \in M$ then N **cannot be** Kähler hyperbolic because $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$ which is **simply connected**.

It would be desirable to have a **metric condition** that on one hand it implies less but still interesting properties and on the other hand it is **stable** e.g. **through modifications**.

A more concrete reason

Lang's conjecture (1986):

A smooth complex projective variety M is Kobayashi hyperbolic if and only if any (possibly singular) subvariety $X \subseteq M$ is of general type:

if X is non-singular then K_X is big;

if X is singular there exists resolution $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ such that K_M is big.

The conjecture is largely open. The following direction of the Lang conjecture

M Kobayashi hyperbolic \implies every $X \subseteq M$ is of general type

has been verified in some cases:

- (1) compact Kähler surfaces;
- (2) compact Kähler manifolds with negative holomorphic sectional curvature;
- (3) compact, free quotients of bounded domains.

Question: Does the Lang conjecture hold true for Kähler hyperbolic manifolds?

Let (M, ω) be **Kähler hyperbolic**. We have to show that each subvariety

$$X \subseteq M$$

is of **general type**.

We already know that M is of **general type** ($:=K_M$ is **big**).

If $N \subset M$ is **non-singular** $\Rightarrow N$ is **K-H** $\Rightarrow N$ is of **general type**.

We have to deal with the case of $X \subset M$ **singular**.

We have to show that any **resolution** $\pi : N \rightarrow X \subset M$ is of **general type**.

Note that now $\pi^*\omega$ is only **positive semidefinite** on N and **positive definite** on $N \setminus \pi^{-1}(\text{sing}(X))$.

This lead us to consider **Kähler hyperbolic metrics** that **degenerate** along a subvariety.

GOAL: Given a Kähler manifold (N, ω) that in addition carries a **degenerate** Kähler hyperbolic form we want to show that K_N is **big**.

We have to restore the spectral properties of $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, 0}$ and the **largeness** of $\pi_1(N)$.

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. Let μ be a **real, closed** $(1, 1)$ -form such that on the universal cover $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ we have

$$\pi^* \mu = d\alpha$$

with $\alpha \in L^\infty \Omega^1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \cap \Omega^1(\tilde{M})$.

Definition

We say that (M, μ) is **semi-Kähler hyperbolic** if μ is **positive semidefinite** and **positive definite** on some Zariski open subset.

We say that (M, μ) is **quasi-Kähler hyperbolic** if μ is **positive semidefinite** and **strictly positive** at some point.

Let M be a quasi-Kähler manifold and let \mathcal{Q}_M be the set of quasi-Kähler forms on M .

Given $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_M$ let $Z_{M,\mu}$ be the closed subset of points where μ is not strictly positive. Let

$$Z_M = \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_M} Z_{M,\mu}.$$

Definition

A quasi-Kähler hyperbolic manifold is pseudo-Kähler hyperbolic if Z_M has zero Lebesgue measure.

Summarizing:

$$\{KH\} \subsetneq \{\text{Semi } KH\} \subseteq \{\text{Pseudo } KH\} \subseteq \{\text{Quasi } KH\}$$

Theorem (B.-Diverio-Eyssidieux-Trapani)

Let (M, μ) be *quasi-KH*. Then:

- $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ carries a *linear isoperimetric inequality*:

$$\text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Omega) \leq \text{clength}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\partial\Omega)$$

for any relatively compact open subset Ω with smooth boundary.

- $0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_0)$, that is 0 is not in the spectrum of the *Laplace-Beltrami operator* $\tilde{\Delta}_0 : L^2(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$;
- there are no non-zero L^2 holomorphic p -forms on \tilde{M} for $0 \leq p \leq m - 1$ w.r.t. $\tilde{\omega}$.

Sketch of the proof

Linear Isoperimetric Inequality

(a) μ is \tilde{d} -bounded $\implies \mu^m$ is \tilde{d} -bounded: $\tilde{\mu}^m = d(\alpha \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{m-1})$.

(b) μ is semipositive and strictly positive at some point \implies

$$\int_M \mu^m > 0 \implies [\mu^m] = [\omega^m].$$

(a)+(b) $\implies \omega^m$ is \tilde{d} -bounded:

$$\tilde{\omega}^m = d\eta$$

with $\eta \in L^\infty \Omega^{2m-1}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ and **smooth**.

To reach the conclusion is enough to apply Stokes theorem:

$$m! \text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\omega}^m = \int_{\Omega} d\eta = \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta \leq \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})} \text{Lenght}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\partial\Omega).$$

Linear isoperimetric inequality $\implies 0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_0)$ (consequence of Cheeger's inequality)

Vanishing of L^2 holomorphic p -forms, $0 < p \leq m - 1$.

Let $\tilde{\mu} = d\alpha$ with $\alpha \in L^\infty \Omega^1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ smooth. Let A and B be open subsets of M such that $\bar{B} \subset A$ and $\mu|_A$ is strictly positive. Then there exist positive constants C and C_B such that

$$C_B \|\eta\|_{L^2 \Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{\omega})}^2 \leq \int_{\tilde{B}} i^{p^2} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{m-p} \leq \int_{\tilde{M}} i^{p^2} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{m-p} \leq 2C \|\eta\|_{L^2 \Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})} \langle \Delta_{\bar{\partial}, p, 0} \eta, \eta \rangle_{L^2 \Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for each $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}, p, 0})$.

$\implies \eta \in \ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, 0}) \implies \eta$ is holomorphic and $\eta|_{\tilde{B}} \equiv 0 \implies \eta$ is identically zero.

Theorem (B.-Diverio-Eyssidieux-Trapani)

Let M be *pseudo-KH* and let us consider

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0} : L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}).$$

Then

- $0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0})$ with $0 \leq p \leq m-1$.
- $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m,0} : L^2\Omega^{m,0}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{m,0}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ has *closed range* and *infinite dimensional kernel*.

In particular $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ carries an *infinite dimensional space* of L^2 -*holomorphic* m -forms.

Sketch of the proof

We have to show that $0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, 0})$ for $0 \leq p \leq m - 1$.

We need to recall two technical lemmas:

Lemma (1)

Let M be *pseudo KH* and let A be an *open and relatively compact* subset of $M \setminus Z_M$. Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_M$ such that

$$\mu|_{\bar{A}}$$

is *strictly positive*.

Lemma (2)

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold. Let $\{E(\lambda)\}_\lambda$ be the *spectral resolution* of

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, p, q} : L^2 \Omega^{p, q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2 \Omega^{p, q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}).$$

Given $\lambda_0 > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon_0(\lambda_0) > 0$ such that if U_ε is an open set of M with

$$\text{vol}_\omega(U_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

we have:

$$\int_{\tilde{U}_\varepsilon} |\eta|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 d\text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}} \leq \int_{\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U}_\varepsilon} |\eta|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 d\text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}}$$

for all $\eta \in \text{im}(E(\lambda_0))$.

Let M be pseudo-KH. Let us fix $\lambda_0 = 1$ and let U be an open neighbourhood of Z_M such that

$$\int_{\tilde{U}} |\eta|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 d\text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}} \leq \int_{\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U}} |\eta|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 d\text{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}}$$

for all $\eta \in \text{im}(E(1))$.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_M$ be such that $\mu|_A$ is strictly positive with A open and $\overline{M \setminus U} \subset A \subset M \setminus Z_M$.

There exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})}^2 &= \|\eta|_{\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U}}\|_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U},\tilde{\omega})}^2 + \|\eta|_{\tilde{U}}\|_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{U},\tilde{\omega})}^2 \leq \\ 2\|\eta|_{\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U}}\|_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U},\tilde{\omega})}^2 &\leq C_1 \int_{\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{U}} i^{p^2} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{m-p} \leq \\ C_1 \int_{\tilde{M}} i^{p^2} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{m-p} &\leq C_2 \|\eta\|_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} \langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0} \eta, \eta \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing there exists a **positive constant** C_2 such that

$$\|\eta\|_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})}^2 \leq C_2 \langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0}\eta, \eta \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})}$$

for each $\eta \in \text{im}(E(1)) \subset \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0})$.

Finally let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0})$. We **decompose** γ as $\eta + \psi$ with $\eta := E(1)\gamma$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0}\gamma, \gamma \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} &= \langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0}(\eta + \psi), \eta + \psi \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} \geq \\ &C_2 \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} + \langle \psi, \psi \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} \geq G \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} \end{aligned}$$

with $G := \min\{C_2, 1\}$.

Conclusion:

$$\langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0}\gamma, \gamma \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})} \geq G \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{L^2\Omega^{p,0}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\omega})}$$

for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0})$, that is

$$0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},p,0}), \quad 0 \leq p \leq m-1.$$

We are left to show that $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m,0}$ has **closed range** and **infinite dimensional kernel**.

Closed range: $0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m-1,0}) \implies 0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m,1}) \implies$

$\bar{\partial}_{m,0} : L^2\Omega^{m,0}(\tilde{M}, \omega) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{m,1}(\tilde{M}, \omega)$ has closed range \implies

$\bar{\partial}_{m,0}^* : L^2\Omega^{m,1}(\tilde{M}, \omega) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{m,0}(\tilde{M}, \omega)$ has closed range

$\implies \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m,0}$ has **closed range**.

Infinite dimensional kernel: Gromov's original strategy works also in our setting. Let

$$F := \tilde{M} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$$

be the **trivial line bundle**. Let μ be a **quasi-Kähler** form on M with

$$\tilde{\mu} := d\alpha$$

with $\alpha \in L^\infty\Omega^1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ and smooth.

For each $s \in [0, 1]$ we endow F with the **standard Hermitian metric** and with the **connection**

$$\nabla_s := \nabla_0 + is\alpha$$

with ∇_0 the standard **flat connection**.

(a) For each $s \in [0, 1]$ there exists a (possibly non trivial) **holomorphic structure** on F such that ∇_s is the corresponding **Chern connection**.

(b) The **curvature** of ∇_s is

$$isd\alpha = is\tilde{\mu}.$$

Let

$$\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^* : \Omega_c^{m,\bullet}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{m,\bullet}(\tilde{M})$$

be the **Dirac-Dolbeault operator** associated to the Dolbeault complex

$$\dots \rightarrow \Omega_c^{m,q}(\tilde{M}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{m,q}} \Omega_c^{m,q+1}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Let

$$\bar{D}_m^s : L^2(\tilde{M}, \Lambda^{m, \bullet}(\tilde{M}) \otimes F) \rightarrow L^2(\tilde{M}, \Lambda^{m, \bullet}(\tilde{M}) \otimes F)$$

be the L^2 -closure of the first order elliptic differential operator obtained by twisting $\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^*$ and ∇_s .

Note: \bar{D}_m^s is not Γ -equivariant, for ∇_s is not ($\Gamma :=$ Deck transformation of \tilde{M}). \implies we cannot apply immediately Atiyah's L^2 index theorem.

However for each fixed $s \in [0, 1]$ there exists a group G_s such that

- (a) G_s acts on $L^2(\tilde{M}, \Lambda^{m, \bullet}(\tilde{M}) \otimes F)$ by isometries;
 - (b) the action of G_s commutes with \bar{D}_m^s .
- (a)+(b) $\implies \ker(\bar{D}_m^s)$ is invariant w.r.t. the action of G_s .

$\implies \ker(\bar{D}_m^s)$ admits a dimension with respect to G_s .

Let

$$\text{ind}_{G_s}(\bar{D}_m^s) := \dim_{G_s} \ker \bar{D}_m^{s,+} - \dim_{G_s} \ker \bar{D}_m^{s,-}.$$

The following formula holds true:

$$\text{ind}_{G_s}(\bar{D}_m^s) = \int_M \text{Td}(\mathbf{M}) \wedge \text{ch}(K_M) \wedge \text{ch}(F).$$

Note that

$$\text{ch}(F) = \exp(-s\mu/2\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \mu^m \neq 0.$$

\implies when s runs in $[0, 1]$ $\text{ind}_{G_s}(\bar{D}_m^s)$ is a **non trivial polynomial** of degree m in the variable s .

There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each $s \in (0, \epsilon)$

$$\text{ind}_{G_s}(\bar{D}_m^s) \neq 0$$

\implies for each $s \in (0, \epsilon)$

$$0 \in \ker(\bar{D}_m^s)$$

\implies for each $s \in (0, \epsilon)$

$$0 \in \sigma(\bar{D}_m^s)$$

\implies

$$0 \in \sigma(\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^*)$$

with

$$\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^* : L^2\Omega^{m,\bullet}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{m,\bullet}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$$

the **Dirac-Dolbeault operator** associated to the L^2 -Dolbeault complex

$$\dots \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{m,q}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{m,q}} L^2\Omega^{m,q+1}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now we take the square of $\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^*$:

$$(\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^*)^2 = \bigoplus_{q=0}^m \tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, q}.$$

$$0 \in \sigma(\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^*) \implies 0 \in \sigma((\bar{\partial}_m + \bar{\partial}_m^*)^2)$$

\implies there exists (at least) an index q such that:

$$0 \in \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, q}).$$

On the other hand

$$0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, q}), \quad q = 1, \dots, m$$

since $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, q}$ is **unitarily equivalent** to $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m-q, 0}$ and

$$0 \notin \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m-q, 0}), \quad q = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Only **one possibility** is left:

$$0 \in \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0}).$$

Remember that $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0}$ has **closed range**.

$\text{ran}(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0})$ **closed and** $0 \in \sigma(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0}) \implies 0$ is an **eigenvalue** of $\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0}$, that is

$$\ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0}) \neq 0.$$

(a) $\ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0})$ is **non-empty** and **invariant** w.r.t. the action of Γ

(b) Γ is **infinite**

(a)+(b) $\implies \ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial}, m, 0})$ is **infinite dimensional** (in the usual sense)

$\implies (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ carries an **infinite dimensional** (in the usual sense) space of L^2 **holomorphic** m -forms.

Proposition

If M is *quasi-KH* then

$$\chi(M, \mathcal{K}_M) \geq 0.$$

If M is *pseudo-KH* then

$$\chi(M, \mathcal{K}_M) > 0.$$

Indeed:

$$\chi(M, \mathcal{K}_M) =$$

$$\sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \dim(H^{m,q}(M)) = \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \dim_{\Gamma}(\ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m,q})) =$$

$$\dim_{\Gamma}(\ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{\bar{\partial},m,q})) = \begin{cases} \geq 0 & M \text{ quasi-KH} \\ > 0 & M \text{ pseudo-KH} \end{cases}$$

Proposition

Let M be *quasi-KH*. There exists an analytic subvariety $Z'_M \subset M$ such that for every compact and irreducible analytic subvariety $X \subset M$ with $X \not\subset Z'_M$ we have

$$\text{Im}(i_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(M))$$

is *infinite*. In particular M has *generically large fundamental group*.

Z'_M is defined as

$$Z'_M := \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_M} \text{null}([\mu])$$

with $\text{null}([\mu])$ the *null locus* of $[\mu]$:

$$\text{null}([\mu]) = \bigcup \{V \subset M : \int_V \mu^{\dim(V)} = 0\}$$

with V compact and irreducible analytic subvarieties of M .

Theorem

Let M be a *pseudo-KH* manifold. Then K_M is *big* and M is *projective*.

$\pi_1(M)$ is *generically large* and \tilde{M} carries *non-trivial* L^2 *holomorphic* m -forms;

\implies (Gromov-Kollár) K_M is *big*;

M is *Kähler* and carries a *big line bundle* $\implies M$ is *projective*.

Theorem

Let (M, ω) be *Kähler hyperbolic*. Let $X \subseteq M$ be a (possibly singular) subvariety. Then X is of *general type*.

If X is *non-singular* this follows by Gromov '91.

If X is *singular* and $r : N \rightarrow X$ is a *resolution* of X then

$$(N, r^*\omega)$$

is *semi-KH* and therefore *pseudo-KH*.

Now the conclusion follows by B.-Diverio-Eyssidieux-Trapani.

Thanks for your attention